Sponsor– Novartis	Web Page/Link to Prescribing/Label Information- www.pharma.us.novartis.com/product/pi.jsp
Generic Drug Name-	www.phama.us.novanis.com/product/pi.jsp
Methylphenidate-hydrochloride-long-acting	
Therapeutic Area of Trial–	
Neuroscience	
Approved Indication-	
Approved for the treatment of ADHD	
Study Number-	
CRIT124DUS02	
safety of methylphenidate-hydrochloride-long-ad deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)	o-controlled, crossover study evaluating the efficacy and cting in female adolescents diagnosed with attention
Phase of Development– Phase 4	
Study Start/End dates-	
22-Mar-2003 / 29-Jan-2004	
Study Design/Methodology–	
This was a 10-week, multicenter, double-blind,	randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study in female d diagnosis of ADHD in which the efficacy and safety of m 60 mg/day were compared with placebo.
Centres-	
15 centers in the USA	
Publication-Ongoing	
Objectives-	
Primary outcome/efficacy objective(s)-	
	e-hydrochloride-long-acting 20–60 mg/day to placebo in the ADHD in females aged 12-17 years
Secondary outcome/efficacy objective(s)-	
	te-hydrochloride-long-acting to placebo in improving social, self-esteem, mood and school performance in the same
 To compare the safety profile of methylph study population 	nenidate-hydrochloride-long-acting to placebo in the same
Test Product, Dose, and Mode of Administra	
Methylphenidate-hydrochloride-long-acting 20-n	ng and 30-mg capsules were administered orally once
daily. All capsules were over-encapsulated in a	
Reference Product(s), Dose(s), and Mode(s)	
	e daily. All placebo capsules were identical in size and
color to Methylphenidate-hydrochloride-long-act Criteria for Evaluation-	ung capsules.
	was the total score on the Conners Parent Rating Scale
Secondary efficacy: Secondary efficacy variable	es were total scores on the Conners-Wells Adolescent Self elf-Concept Scale (PHCSCS-2) and ratings on the Clinical Clinical Global Impression of Severity (CGI-S).
severity and relationship to study drug), and pre blood chemistry, urinalysis, urine pregnancy tes	d monitoring and recording all AEs, SAEs (with their egnancies; clinical laboratory evaluations (hematology, ting, and urine drug screening); regular assessments of ; and performance of electrocardiograms.
war signs, priysidal denalition and body weights	
<i>Other:</i> No other assessments were made	
	ic evaluations were made.

Statistical Methods-

The data from all centers were pooled and summarized for all demographic and baseline measures and for all analyses of efficacy and safety variables. Demographic and baseline measures were summarized by treatment schedule; differences between patients randomized to the two treatment schedules were not analyzed. For the purpose of the primary efficacy analysis, all scores from both treatment schedules were combined by treatment (Methylphenidate-hydrochloride-long-acting vs placebo). The least squares mean difference (estimation of the mean value) was computed by subtracting between the LSM change (95% Cls) of CPRS total scores for Methylphenidate-hydrochloride-long-acting and placebo from pretreatment (Day 0) to the fourth week of treatment. The mean treatment difference was analyzed using a mixed model that included period and treatment as fixed effects and patient (sequence) as a random effect. A test to determine any carry-over between pretreatment scores for the two treatment periods was performed. Paired differences between the two pretreatment scores were analyzed by an ANOVA with a sequence effect. If the p-value from this test was less than 0.05, the data were to be analyzed for treatment period 1 only.

Two secondary efficacy variables, CASS:S and PHCSCS-2, were analyzed using the same methodology employed in the analysis of CPRS. Missing total scores on the CPRS, CASS-S, and PHCSCS-2 evaluations for questionnaires in which <= 20% of the questions had missing values were handled by imputing the total scores using the number of questions multiplied by the average score for the non-missing questions. If >20% of the questions had missing values, the total score was set as missing. Missing total scores for CPRS, CASS:S, or PHCSCS-2 for the fourth week of each treatment period were imputed using the LOCF of non-missing scores at the second week of the treatment period. The frequency distributions of CGI-C and CGI-S scores were summarized by visit and treatment schedule and by visit and treatment.

AE summaries were presented for all patients and by treatment schedule and treatment; separate listings were presented for AEs that resulted in discontinuation of study medication or adjustment or temporary interruption of study medication and for SAEs. The results of laboratory evaluations were summarized by presenting the number and percentage of patients in each category for categorical laboratory parameters and by presenting summary statistics of raw data and change from baseline values (sample sizes, means, standard errors, medians, and ranges) for continuous laboratory parameters. The number and percentage of patients were summarized by laboratory parameter. All vital signs data were listed, with notable values flagged. No interim analyses were performed.

Study Population: Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria and Demographics-.

Patients were female adolescents, aged 12-17 years, with a diagnosis of ADHD confirmed by performance on the C-DISC4 during screening. Inclusion criteria included the ability and willingness of a parent or other caregiver and the patient to complete questionnaires; patients had to function at an age-appropriate academic level. Exclusion criteria included presence of a medical condition that would interfere with study participation, pregnancy, difficulty in swallowing capsules, a known sensitivity to the study drug or other drugs in the same class, or use of any investigational medication in the past 30 days.

Number of Subjects	All patients			
Planned N	108			
Randomised n	109			
Completed n (%)	83 (76)			
Withdrawn n (%)	26 (24)			
Included in the primary analysis n (%)	Safety: 109 (100%); Efficacy: 107 (98.1%)			
Withdrawn due to adverse events n (%)	0 (0)			
Withdrawn due to lack of efficacy n (%)	10 (9)			
Administrative reasons	3 (3)			
Withdrew consent	8 (7)			
Abnormal laboratory value	2 (2)			
Lost to follow-up	3 (3)			
Demographic and Background Characteristics				
N (ITT)	109			
Females:males	100:0			

Mean age, years (SD)		13.8 (1.6)				
Mean weight, kg (SD)		58.3 (15.4)				
Race White n (% Black n (% Asian n (% Other n (%))			76 (70) 22 (20) 1 (1) 10 (9)		
Age at onset (ye	ears)			Mean (SD)	5.1	(2.0)
Age at diagnosi	s (yea	ars)		Mean (SD)	10.0	(3.7)
Subtype of ADH	lD–n	(%)		Inattentive	48	(44)
				Hyperactive/impuls	sive 1 (0.9)
				Combined	60	(55)
Family history of ADHD-n (%)			No	41	(38)	
				Yes	65	(60)
				Unknown	3	(3)
		lt(s)–intent to trea g Scale (CPRS)	t population			
Conners Parent R	ating	y Scale (CPRS) quares mean treat	tment differen			ween
Conners Parent R	ating	Scale (CPRS)	tment differen			p- value
Conners Parent R Comparison of lea Methylphenidate- Treatment group Methylphenidate	ast so hydro n	g Scale (CPRS) quares mean treat ochloride-long-act Mean pretreatment score (absolute score)	tment different ing or placebo Mean change (absolute	e (efficacy population of the second	ation) LSM treatment difference (95% CI) (Methylphenidate HCl change – placebo change)	p- value
Conners Parent R Comparison of lea Methylphenidate- Treatment group Methylphenidate HCI LA	ast s hydro n 10 2	y Scale (CPRS) quares mean treat ochloride-long-act Mean pretreatment score (absolute score) (SD) 47.2 (14.3)	tment difference ing or placebo Mean change (absolute score) (SD) -20.0 (13.8)	e (efficacy popula LSM (95% CI) -20.1 (-22.8, -17.3)	ation) LSM treatment difference (95% CI) (Methylphenidate HCl change – placebo change) -10.1	p- value
Conners Parent R Comparison of lea Methylphenidate- Treatment group Methylphenidate	ast so hydro n	g Scale (CPRS) quares mean treat ochloride-long-act Mean pretreatment score (absolute score) (SD)	tment difference ing or placebo Mean change (absolute score) (SD)	e (efficacy population of the second	ation) LSM treatment difference (95% CI) (Methylphenidate HCl change – placebo change)	p- value

Secondary efficacy result(s)-intent to treat population

Conners-Wells Adolescent Self Report (CASS:S) & Piers-Harris Children's Self-Concept Scale (PHCSCS-2)

ent Comparison of Change fr Baseline	om Methylphenidat HCl LA	Placebo	Treatment Difference
Change from Baseline in CASS:S Total Score [2]			
After Second Week of Treatment			
Mean (SD) Least Squares Mean [3]	-8.8 (10.4) -9.2	- 8. 1 (10. 2) - 8. 1	- 0. 7 (10. 7) - 1. 1
95% Confidence Interval	(-11.2,-7.1)	(-10.1, -6.1) (-3.0,0.8)
After Fourth Week of Treatment (primary analysis)			
Mean (SD) Least Squares Mean [3]	-11.5 (11.3) -11.6	- 9. 7 (12. 0) - 9. 6	- 1. 7 (9. 6) - 1. 9
95% Confidence Interval	(-13. 9, -9. 2)	(- 12. 0, - 7. 2	
Change from Baseline in PHCSCS-2 Total Score [2]			
After Second Week of Treatment			
Mean (SD) Least Squares Mean [3]	4.6 (6.6) 4.8	4.0 (6.5) 4.1	0.4 (5.7) 0.7
95% Confidence Interval	4. 8 (3. 5, 6. 1)	(2.8, 5.4)	(-0.4, 1.7)
After Fourth Week of Treatment			
(primary analysis) Mean (SD)	5.8 (6.9)	5.2 (7.0)	0.4 (5.0)
	5.8	5.3	0.5
Least Squares Mean [3] 95% Confidence Interval			
95% Confidence Interval	· · · ·	Global Imp	
95% Confidence Interval	nge (CGI-C) & Clinica Schedule A (1 Methylphenidate HCI I (N=57)	Global Imp	ression of Severity (CGI- Schedule B Placebo/ Methylphenidate
95% Confidence Interval	Schedule A (1 Schedule A (1 Methylphenidate HCl I (N=57) nge (CGI-C) - n(%) Ritalin LA 55 (100.0) 3 (5.5) 18 (32.7) 15 (27.3) 14 (25.5) 3 (5.5) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8)	Global Imp	Placebo 46 (100.0) 5 (10.9) 14 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)
95% Confidence Interval	Schedule A (1 Schedule A (1 Methylphenidate HCl I (N=57) nge (CGI-C) - n(%) Ritalin LA 55 (100.0) 3 (5.5) 18 (32.7) 15 (27.3) 14 (25.5) 3 (5.5) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) I (1.8) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) erity (CGI-S) - n(%) Placebo	Global Imp	Placebo Schedule B Placebo/ Methylphenidate (N=50) Placebo 46 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 5 (10.9) 14 (30.4) 26 (56.5) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 8 (10.0)
95% Confidence Interval	Schedule A (: Schedule A (: Methylphenidate HCl I (N=57) nge (CGI-C) - n(%) Ritalin LA 55 (1000.0) 3 (5.5) 18 (32.7) 15 (27.3) 14 (25.5) 3 (5.5) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) erity (CGI-S) - n(%) Placebo 49 (100.0) 0 (0.0)	Global Imp	Placebo 46 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)
95% Confidence Interval	Schedule A (: Methylphenidate HCl I (N=57) nge (CGI-C) - n(%) Ritalin LA 55 (100.0) 3 (5.5) 18 (32.7) 15 (27.3) 14 (25.5) 3 (5.5) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) erity (CGI-S) - n(%) Placebo 49 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 5 (10.2)	Global Imp	Placebo Schedule B Placebo/ Methylphenidate (N=50) Placebo/ Methylphenidate (N=50) 1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 5 (10.9) 14 (30.4) 26 (56.5) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 3 (6.7)
95% Confidence Interval	Schedule A (1 Schedule A (1 Methylphenidate HCl I (N=57) nge (CGI-C) - n(%) Ritalin LA 55 (100.0) 3 (5.5) 18 (32.7) 15 (27.3) 14 (25.5) 3 (5.5) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) erity (CGI-S) - n(%) Placebo 49 (100.0) 0 (0.0)	Global Imp	Placebo Schedule B Placebo/ Methylphenidate (N=50) Placebo/ Methylphenidate (N=50) #6 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 5 (10.9) 14 (30.4) 26 (56.5) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)
95% Confidence Interval	Schedule A (1) Schedule A (1) Methylphenidate HCl I (N=57) nge (CGI-C) - n(%) Ritalin LA 55 (100.0) 3 (5.5) 18 (32.7) 15 (27.3) 14 (25.5) 3 (5.5) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) errity (CGI-S) - n(%) Placebo 49 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 5 (10.2) 8 (16.3)	Global Imp	Placebo Schedule B Placebo/ Methylphenidate (N=50) Placebo/ Methylphenidate (N=50) Placebo/ Methylphenidate (N=50) #Ritalin LA (56.5) 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 3 (6.7) 8<(17.8)

Safety Results

	All patients	Methylphenidate- HCL LA	Placebo	Placebo Washout
No. (%) of patients studied	109	102	101	97
No. (%) of patients with AE(s)	84 (77.1)	69 (67.6)	52 (51.5)	26 (26.8)
System organ class affected	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Nervous system disorders	47 (43.1)	29 (28.4)	23 (22.8)	7 (7.2)
Gastrointestinal disorders	36 (33.0)	28 (27.5)	13 (12.9)	2 (2.1)
nfections and infestations	34 (31.2)	18 (17.6)	14 (13.9)	6 (6.2)
Metabolism and nutrition disorders	30 (27.5)	23 (22.5)	2 (2.0)	7 (7.2)
Psychiatric disorders	29 (26.6)	17 (16.7)	11 (10.9)	2 (2.1)
General disorders and administration site disorders	15 (13.8)	12 (11.8)	1 (1.0)	2 (2.1)
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders.	12 (11.0)	7 (6.9)	5 (5.0)	-
njury, poisoning and procedural complications	10 (9.2)	6 (5.9)	5 (5.0)	1 (1.0)
Reproductive system and breast disorders.	8 (7.3)	8 (7.8)	-	-
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders.	9 (8.3)	3 (2.9)	5 (5.0)	1(1.0)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue Jisorders.	6 (5.5)	-	5 (5.0)	1 (1.0)

Number (%) of patients with the most frequent AEs (>= 5%) by MedDRA preferred term (safety population)	All patients	Methylphenidate HCI LA	Placebo	Placebo Washout
No. (%) of patients studied	109	102	101	97
No. (%) of patients with AE(s)	84 (77.1)	69 (67.6)	52 (51.5)	26 (26.8)
AE preferred term	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Headache	38 (34.9)	24 (23.5)	17(16.8)	6 (6.2)
Decreased appetite	19 (17.4)	15 (14.7)	-	4 (4.1)
Abdominal pain upper	18 (16.5)	13 (12.7)	6 (5.9)	1 (1.0)
Upper respiratory tract infection	15 (13.8)	7 (6.9)	5 (5.0)	4 (4.1)
Nausea	11(10.1)	7 (6.9)	3 (3.0)	1(1.0)
Insomnia	11 (10.1)	5 (4.9)	5 (5.0)	1 (1.0)
Nasopharyngitis	10 (9.2)	4 (3.9)	5 (5.0)	2 (2.1)
Vomiting	8 (7.3)	7 (6.9)	1 (1.0)	-
Initial insomnia	8 (7.3)	3 (2.9)	5 (5.0)	-
Anorexia	9 (8.3)	6 (5.9)	2 (2.0)	2 (2.1)

Number (%) of patients with any serious or significant adverse events (Safety population)

Number (%) of patients who died, had other serious or clinically significant AEs or discontinued because of them (safety population)

	All Patients	Methylphenidate HCI LA	Placebo	Placebo Washout
No. (%) of patients studied	109	102	101	97
Number (%) of patients with serious or other significant events	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Death	-	-	-	-
Number of patients reporting at least one serious adverse event s)	-	-	1 (1.0)	-
Clinically significant AE(s)	-	-	1 (1.0)	-
Discontinued due to SAE(s)	-	-	0	-
Discontinued due to clin. sign. AE(s)	-	-	0	-

Date Updated: September 9, 2005